

## UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

AP	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/477,0	82 12/30	/99 KIDD		V	2427/IE988	
-	DARBY & DARBY 805 THIRD AVE NEW YORK NY 10022		HM12/0703	$\neg$	EXA	MINER	
			7.11.12 day 7.12.7 day		HUNT.		
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					1642	IJ	
					DATE MAILED:		
						07/03/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/477,082

Applicant(s)

Kidd et al.

Examiner

Jennifer Hunt

Art Unit 1642



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Exte	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed				
- If th	fter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da	nication. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will				
D	e considered timely.	y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi				
Ç	ommunication,	by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
- Any	reply received by the Office later than three months after tarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	he mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status	•					
1) 📙	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This a	ction is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $\textit{Ex}\ \textit{p}$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
•	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
	(a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)					
6) 🗆	Claim(s)					
7) 🗆		is/are objected to.				
8) 💢		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
	. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.				
:	$2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application No				
	application from the international Bure	documents have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
_	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachme	nt(s)					
15) 🗌 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) 📙 Infe	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

## Election/Restriction

Upon further consideration, the previous restriction requirement is withdrawn in light of the following new restriction requirement.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-20 and 26-29, drawn to methods of detecting inactivation of a CASP8 gene, and corresponding kits, classified for example in class 435, subclass 6.
  - II. Claims 21-25 and 36-37, drawn to a nucleic acid sequence and corresponding expression vector, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1 and class 435, subclass 320.1.
  - III. Claims 30-35, drawn to a method of treating cancer using gene therapy, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
  - IV. Claim 38, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
  - V. Claims 39-47, drawn to a method of screening for a candidate compound and corresponding kit, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The methods of Groups I, III, and V are completely different methods, having different starting points, different method steps and different outcomes. The method of Group I uses

Art Unit: 1642

measures polynucleotides and/or polypeptides to determine gene function and to determine a diagnosis of or prognosis for cancer. The method of Group III uses an expression vector to treat cancer. The method of Group V uses a specific cell type to determine compounds which induce a specific type of apoptosis. These are distinct methods which are different for the reasons set

- forth above. All require non-coextensive searches and grounds of consideration.

  3. The products of Groups II and IV are completely different products, having different
- structural features and different biological functions. The compound of Group II is drawn to one
- of any number of genomic sequences, or sections thereof. The compound of Group IV is drawn
- to a specific nucleic acid expression system, with a particular pharmaceutical activity. These are
- distinct products which are different for the reasons set forth above. They require non-
- coextensive searches and grounds of consideration.
- 4. Inventions of Groups IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions
- can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for
- using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the
- product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP
- § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of Group IV can be used for a materially different
- process, such as to generate polypeptide in vitro.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for any

one Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If Group I is elected, applicant must further elect a means of detection, wherein a protein is detected (using an immunoassay), or wherein a polynucleotide is detected (using an PCR, etc.).

If Group III is elected, applicant must further elect a single species of nucleic acid sequence from the sequences of SEQ ID NO's 1-10, and 12-28. Applicant is respectfully requested to further note what type of sequence the species is generic to (ie: intron, exon, promoter, etc.).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1642

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct. applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Hunt, whose telephone number is (703) 308-7548. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday 6:30am to 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/477,082

Art Unit: 1642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached at (703) 308-3995. The fax number for the group is

(703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Communications via internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35

U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be

addressed to [anthony.caputa@uspto.gov].

All internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO

employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists the possibility that

sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly

signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set

forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and

Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jennifer Hunt

July 1, 2001

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 6